

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 ARNOLD ANDERSON,
11 Plaintiff(s),

12 v.

13 GILBERTO VALENZUELA, et al.,
14 Defendant(s).
15

)
) Case No. 2:17-cv-02070-APG-NJK
)

) **ORDER**

) (Docket No. 11)
)
)

16 Pending before the Court is a motion for reconsideration. Docket No. 11. Motions for
17 reconsideration are disfavored. Local Rule 59-1(b). The Local Rules provide the applicable standards
18 in addressing whether the Court should reconsider an interlocutory order. In particular, reconsideration
19 may be appropriate if (1) there is newly discovered evidence that was not available when the original
20 motion or response was filed, (2) the court committed clear error or the initial decision was manifestly
21 unjust, or (3) if there is an intervening change in controlling law. Local Rule 59-1(a). The pending
22 motion fails to meet these standards, and is **DENIED**.

23 Plaintiff's motion is premised on his fear that he will not be afforded an opportunity to serve
24 Defendants in the event his case is allowed to proceed following completion of his criminal case in state
25
26
27
28

1 court. *See* Docket No. 11. In the event the stay of this case is lifted and the Court determines that
2 Plaintiff's case can proceed, the Court will afford a period for service to be completed.¹

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: October 17, 2017

5 
6 _____
NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27 _____
28 ¹ Plaintiff's case may be dismissed upon completion of his criminal case if he is convicted. *See*
Docket No. 8 at 3 (citing *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. 477 (1994)).